



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,474	09/13/2000	Masamoto Uenishi	197173US0PCT	2043
22850	7590	06/03/2005	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CHANG, VICTOR S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 09/623,474
Filing Date: September 13, 2000
Appellant(s): UENISHI ET AL.

Norman F. Oblon and Roland Martin
For Appellant

SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

MAILED
JUN 03 2005
GROUP 1700

Art Unit: 1771

This is in response to the order by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences dated 9/7/2004 requesting the examiner to provide a full text English translation of Japanese Patent No. JP 3-169330.


In response, the Examiner notes that while the English abstract of JP 3-169330 provided by Appellants in an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), filed 11/6/2000, was relied upon in an Office action dated 8/30/2002 as an alternative ground of rejection, it was not relied upon in the Examiner's answer dated 12/31/2003. The Examiner apologizes for inadvertently including JP 3-169330 in the section (9) Prior Art of Record, and also in the heading of grounds of rejection in section (10) Grounds of Rejection. As such, the Examiner notes that JP 3-169330 should be deleted from aforementioned sections (9) and (10), and a full text English translation is not required. For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Art Unit: 1771


Finally, the Examiner restates the heading of grounds of rejection in section (10)
(see Examiner's Answer dated 12/31/2003, page 3) as follows:


Claims 1, 3-8 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being
unpatentable over EP 0740952 ~~either individually, or in view of JP 3-169330 (Abstract).~~

Respectfully submitted,


Victor S Chang
Examiner
Art Unit 1771

May 21, 2005

Conferees
Deborah D. Jones
Terrel H. Morris — 


TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314